IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 6707 OF 2006

Cummins Diesel Sales & Service
(I) Limited .... Petitioner

versus

A.R. Hublikar ..... Respondents.

Shri D.J. Bhanage for the petitioner
Shri Nitin A. Kulkarni for the respondent.

CORAM; A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED; 21ST MARCH, 2007

P.C.;

1. An interlocatory order passed by the III Labour Court, Pune dated 10-5-2006 directing the petitioner to produce some documents in its possession is challenged by filing the writ petition. Perused the order. In the first place, the Labour Court has recorded a finding that the petitioner has not denied the fact that the petitioner is in possession of the said documents, and thereafter the Labour Court has proceeded to observe that the relevancy of the documents, touching the merit of the matter, will be gone into before document is taken into consideration.

2. Perused the order. Same does not call for any interference. In the result, the writ petition is summarily dismissed.

3. As the Labour Court has observed that it will consider the relevancy of the documents before placeing reliance on the same, no further clarification in that regard is called for.

....